THE LANCET

Supplementary appendix

This appendix formed part of the original submission and has been peer reviewed. We post it as supplied by the authors.

Supplement to: Hartvigsen J, Hancock MJ, Kongsted A, et al. What low back pain is and why we need to pay attention. *Lancet* 2018; published online March 21. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30480-X.

Appendix for What low back pain is and why we need to pay attention

Table S1. Estimates of total costs, direct medical costs, and indirect societal costs, as well as cost per person in the population expressed in 2015 USD*

First authors, country	Year	Method	Total societal cost (billion)	Direct medical cot, %	Indirect societal cost, %	Total cost per person	Direct cost per person	Indirect cost per person
Maniadakis, UK ¹	1998	Top down	26.40	15	85	449	68	381
Rizzo; ² Lou, ³ USA	1998	Top down	81.24	47	53	308	145	163
van Zundert, ⁴ Belgium	1999	Top down	1.93	16	84	189	30	159
Ekman,⁵ Sweden	2001	Top down	2.93	16	84	336	54	282
Walker, ⁶ Australia	2001	Top down	11.24	11	89	583	64	518
Weiser, ⁷ Switzerland	2005	Bottom up	8.92	38	62	1199	455	743
Lambeek, ⁸ Netherlands	2007	Top down	4.88	12	88	300	36	264

* For each study the reported total societal costs were inflation-adjusted to 2015 US dollars based on World Bank data, divided by the total population at time of data collection, to derive the total per person cost. Based on the reported fraction of direct and indirect costs, per-person direct and indirect costs were developed.

First author, country	Year	Inpatient care	Diagnostic evaluations	Outpatient care	Physical therapy chiropractic, massage	Prescription medication
Rizzo ² Lou, ³ USA	1998	38	NR*	56.0	NR	4.0
Ekman,⁵ Sweden	2001	12.0	NR	25.0	55.0	6.0
	2001	20.0	6.7	17-4	48.2	7.5
walker,° Australia						
Weiser, ⁷ Switzerland	2005	37.0	5.5	24.9	31.9	1.5
Labeek, ⁸ Netherlands	2007	21.0	1.0	25.0	49.0	4.0

* Not reported

Table S3. Performance and extent of validation of four low back pain prediction models

Node HameOre of Musculus duster all Pain Screening Questionnaire®Start Back Screening (Soft Pain Screening (WK, 2008)The Handock RulePrecor (Australia, 2009)Number of items21935Concepts covered byPain intensity Pain frequencyBothersome pain Leg painPain intensity Episode durationPain intensity Leg painPain intensity Episode durationpredictorsPain intensity Perceived risk of persistencyBothersome pain Leg painPain intensity Episode durationPain intensity Leg pain Previous episodesPain intensity Leg pain DepressionpredictorsPain frequency Episode durationActivity limitation Perceived risk of persistency Depression CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode all control CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode all control CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode all control CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode all control CatastrophizingFear of movement Fear avoidance beliefs Anxiety Depression Sick leave Physical work exposures Work expectationsPain intensity Episode all control CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode all control Catastrophizing	Model name	Örebre Musculeskeletel	STarT Back Screening Tool ¹⁰	The 'Hancock Pule' 11	
(Country of development, year)Questionnaire ⁹ Questionnaire ⁹ (UK, 2008)(Australia, 2009)(Australia, 2016)Number of items21935Concepts covered by predictorsPain intensity Pain frequency Episode duration Multi-site pain Activity limitation Perceived pian control Perceived risk of persistency Perceived risk of persistency Perceive	Wouername		Start Back Screening 1001		FICKOF
Concepts covered by predictorsPain intensity Pain frequency Episode durationBothersome pain Leg painPain intensity Episode duration Multi-site pain Activity limitation Perceived pain control Perceived risk of persistencyBothersome pain Leg painPain intensity Episode duration Perceived risk of persistency Depression CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode science CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode science CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode science CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode science CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode science CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode science Episode sc	(Country of	Pain Screening	(114, 2008)		
development, year)(Sweden, 1998)(Sweden, 1998)(Sweden, 1998)(Sweden, 1998)Number of items21935Concepts covered by predictorsPain intensity Episode duration Multi-site pain Activity limitation Activity limitation Perceived pain control Perceived risk of persistencyBothersome pain Leg pain Multi-site pain Anxiety Depression CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode duration Previous episodesPain intensity Leg pain Depression Perceived risk Disability compensationFear of movement Fear avoidance beliefs Anxiety Depression Sick leave Physical work exposuresPain intensity Leg pain Activity limitation CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode duration Perceived pain CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode duration Perceived pain Catastrophizing	(Country of	Questionnaire ⁹	(0K, 2008)	(Australia, 2009)	(Australia, 2016)
year)(Sweden, 1998)Second PainPainPainPainNumber of items21935Concepts covered by predictorsPain intensity Pain frequency Episode durationBothersome pain Leg painPain intensity Episode duration Multi-site pain Activity limitation Fear avoidance beliefs Perceived risk of persistency Depression CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode Perceived risk of persistency Depression CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode Perceived risk of persistency Depression CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode Perceived risk of persistency Depression CatastrophizingPain intensity Episode Perceived risk Disability compensationFear of movement Fear avoidance beliefs Anxiety Depression Sick leave Physical work exposures Work exposuresPain intensity Leg pain Perceived risk Disability compensation	development,			(, (aoti alia) 2000)	(, (aot: and) 2020)
Number of items21935Concepts covered by predictorsPain intensity Pain frequency Episode durationBothersome pain Leg painPain intensity Episode duration Multi-site pain Activity limitationPain intensity Leg painPain intensity Leg pain Previous episodesPain intensity Leg pain DepressionPreceived pain Perceived pain control Perceived risk of persistency Perceived risk of persistency Depression CatastrophizingPain intensity Leg pain Perceived risk of persistency Depression CatastrophizingPain intensity Leg pain Perceived risk of persistency Depression CatastrophizingPain intensity Leg pain Perceived risk Disability compensationFear of movement Fear avoidance beliefs Anxiety Depression Sick leave Physical work exposures Work expectationsPain intensity Leg pain Perceived risk Disability compensation	year)	(Sweden, 1998)			
Number of items21935219352193521219352121212135212121212135212121212135212121212135212121212121521 <t< th=""><th>Number of Stars</th><th>21</th><th></th><th>2</th><th></th></t<>	Number of Stars	21		2	
Concepts covered byPain intensityBothersome painPain intensityPain intensitypredictorsPain frequencyLeg painEpisode durationLeg painMulti-site painMulti-site painActivity limitationPrevious episodesDepressionMulti-site painActivity limitationFear avoidance beliefsDisability compensationPerceived pain controlAnxietyDepressionDisability compensationPerceived risk of persistencyDepressionCatastrophizingFear avoidance beliefsFear avoidance beliefsAnxietyDepressionCatastrophizingFear avoidance beliefsAnxietyDepressionEntendedSick leavePhysical work exposuresWork expectationsHereine and the preceived preceived preceived preceived	Number of items	21	9	3	5
Concepts covered by predictorsPain intensity Pain frequency Episode durationBothersome pain Leg painPain intensity Episode durationPain intensity Leg painpredictorsEpisode duration Multi-site pain Activity limitationMulti-site pain Fear avoidance beliefsPrevious episodesDepressionPerceived pain control Perceived risk of persistencyAnxiety Depression CatastrophizingDepression CatastrophizingPrevious episodesPrevious episodesFear of movement Fear avoidance beliefs Anxiety Depression Sick leave Physical work exposures Work expectationsPrevious episodesPrevious episodes					
covered by predictorsPain frequencyLeg painEpisode durationLeg painpredictorsEpisode durationMulti-site painPrevious episodesDepressionMulti-site painActivity limitationFear avoidance beliefsPerceived riskActivity limitationFear avoidance beliefsDisability compensationPerceived pain controlAnxietyPerceived risk of persistencyDepressionPerceived risk of persistencyDepressionCatastrophizingFear of movementFear of movementFear avoidance beliefsAnxietyHender StateDepressionSick leavePhysical work exposuresWork expectations	Concepts	Pain intensity	Bothersome pain	Pain intensity	Pain intensity
predictorsEpisode durationMulti-site painPrevious episodesDepressionMulti-site painActivity limitationFear avoidance beliefsPerceived riskDisability compensationActivity limitationAnxietyAnxietyDepressionDepressionDisability compensationPerceived risk of persistencyDepressionCatastrophizingFear avoidance beliefsHerceived riskFear of movementFear avoidance beliefsAnxietyHerceived riskHerceived riskDepressionSick leaveHerceived riskHerceived riskHerceived riskVork expectationsWork expectationsHerceived riskHerceived riskHerceived risk	covered by	Pain frequency	Leg pain	Episode duration	Leg pain
Multi-site painActivity limitationPerceived riskActivity limitationFear avoidance beliefsDisability compensationPerceived pain controlAnxietyDepressionPerceived risk of persistencyDepressionCatastrophizingFear of movementFear avoidance beliefsHerceived riskFear avoidance beliefsAnxietyHerceived riskDepressionSick leaveHerceived riskPhysical work exposuresWork expectations	predictors	Episode duration	Multi-site pain	Previous episodes	Depression
Activity limitationFear avoidance beliefsDisability compensationPerceived pain controlAnxietyEnter SecondPerceived risk of persistencyDepressionCatastrophizingCatastrophizingFear of movementFear avoidance beliefsAnxietyEnter SecondDepressionEnter SecondSick leaveEnter SecondPhysical work exposuresWork expectations		Multi-site pain	Activity limitation		Perceived risk
Perceived pain control Anxiety Perceived risk of persistency Depression Catastrophizing Catastrophizing Fear of movement Fear avoidance beliefs Anxiety Pepression Depression Sick leave Physical work exposures Work expectations		Activity limitation	Fear avoidance beliefs		Disability compensation
Perceived risk of persistency Depression Catastrophizing Fear of movement Fear avoidance beliefs Anxiety Depression Sick leave Physical work exposures Work expectations		Perceived pain control	Anxiety		
Fear of movement Catastrophizing Fear avoidance beliefs Hermitian Anxiety Hermitian Depression Hermitian Sick leave Hermitian Physical work exposures Work expectations		Perceived risk of persistency	Depression		
Fear of movement Fear avoidance beliefs Anxiety Depression Sick leave Physical work exposures Work expectations			Catastrophizing		
Fear avoidance beliefs Image: Constraint of the second		Fear of movement			
Anxiety Depression Depression Sick leave Physical work exposures Vork expectations		Fear avoidance beliefs			
Depression Sick leave Physical work exposures Work expectations		Anxiety			
Sick leave Physical work exposures Work expectations		Depression			
Physical work exposures Work expectations		Sick leave			
Work expectations		Physical work exposures			
Work expectations					
Work satisfaction		Work expectations			

	Sleep disturbed by pain			
Primary outcome in development sample	Sick leave days during 6 months (0, 1-30, >30).	High disability after 6 months (at least 7 points on the Roland Morris Disability Scale).	Days to recovery from pain. Discrimination based on recovery status at 11 weeks.	Non-recovery from pain after 3 months ('3 Mild' to '6 Very Severe' on a 6-point Likert scale).
Predictive performance in development sample	Cut-point 105 (scale 0–210): Specificity (identification of no sick leave): 0.75 Sensitivity (1–30 days): 0.86 Sensitivity (>30 days): 0.88 No overall performance measure reported	Sum scale (no cut-point): AUC (95% Cl) = 0·92 (0·88– 0·97)	AUC (95% CI) = 0.68 (0.57–0.79)	AUC = 0·67
Predictive performance in narrow validation sample*	Cut-point 105 (scale 0-210): Specificity (identification of no sick leave): 0.81 Sensitivity (1–30 days): 0.40 Sensitivity (>30 days): 0.67 AUC (95% CI) = 0.74 (0.64– 0.84)	Sum scale (no cut-point): AUC (95% Cl) = 0·90 (0·88– 0·93)	AUC (95% Cl) for 1 week recovery = 0.65 (0.60–0.70) AUC (95% Cl) for 12 week recovery = 0.60 (0.56–0.64)	AUC (95% CI) = 0·66 (0·63− 0·69)
Additional external validation of predictive performance Country (publication year)	External validations have been performed in: Canada (2007) ¹³ Netherlands (2007) ¹⁴ Norway, Australia and New Zealand (2009) ¹⁵ Australia (2011 & 2011) ^{16, 17} Belgium (2012) ¹⁸ Sweden (2012) ¹⁹ China (2013) ²⁰ Switzerland (2016) ²¹ Turkey (2016) ²² [Additional translations and psychometric testing exist]	External validations have been performed in: UK (2012) ²³ USA (2013) ²⁴ Denmark (2013) Primary care ²⁵ Denmark (2014) secondary care ²⁶ Canada (2015) ²⁷ Denmark (2016) chiropractic care ²⁸ [Additional translations and psychometric testing exist]	N/A	N/A

Predictive	Cut-point 113:	Sum score:	N/A	N/A
performance in	AUC (95%CI)= 0·66 (0·52–	AUC (95%Cl) = 0·69 (0·66–		
external	0·81) (6 months outcome,	0.73)		
validation	Turkey)	(6 months outcome,		
samples	Sum score:	secondary care Denmark)		
reporting Area	AUC (95%CI)= 0.80 (0·66–			
Under the Curve	0·93) (6 months outcome, Norway)	AUC (95%Cl) = 0·71 (0·66– 0·77)		
Area Under the ROC Curve (95% CI)	AUC (95%Cl)= 0.72 (0.57– 0.86) (12 months outcome, Norway) AUC (95%Cl)= 0.69 (0.62– 0.76) (12-months outcome, China) AUC = 0.83 (6-months outcome, Belgium)	(6 months outcome, primary care Denmark) Three risk group: AUC (95%Cl) = 0.84 (0.69– 1.00) (6 months outcome, Canada) AUC (95%Cl) = 0.59 (0.55– 0.63) (3-months outcome, Denmark chiropractic care) AUC (95%Cl) = 0.60 (0.56– 0.64) (12months outcome, Denmark chiropractic care)		

*validation performed by the same research team and in the same setting or in a very similar setting in the same country

References:

- 1 Maniadakis N, Gray A. The economic burden of back pain in the UK. *Pain* 2000; **84:** 95–103.
- 2 Rizzo JA, Abbott TA, 3rd, Berger ML. The labor productivity effects of chronic backache in the United States. *Med Care* 1998; **36**: 1471-88
- 3 Luo X, Pietrobon R, Sun SX, Liu GG, Hey L. Estimates and patterns of direct health care expenditures among individuals with back pain in the United States. *Spine* 2004; **29**: 79-86.
- 4 van Zundert J, van Kleef M. Low back pain: from algorithm to cost-effectiveness? *Pain Pract* 2005; **5**: 179-89..
- 5 Ekman M, Johnell O, Lidgren L. The economic cost of low back pain in Sweden in 2001. *Acta Orthop* 2005; **76**: 275-84
- 6 Walker BF, Muller R, Grant WD. Low back pain in Australian adults: the economic burden. *Asia Pac J Public Health* 2003; **15**: 79-87.
- 7 Wieser S, Horisberger B, Schmidhauser S, et al. Cost of low back pain in Switzerland in 2005. *Eur J Health Econ* 2011; **12**: 455-67.
- 8 Lambeek LC, van Tulder MW, Swinkels IC, Koppes LL, Anema JR, van Mechelen W. The trend in total cost of back pain in The Netherlands in the period 2002 to 2007. *Spine* 2011; **36**: 1050-8.
- 9 Linton SJ, Hallden K. Can we screen for problematic back pain? A screening questionnaire for predicting outcome in acute and subacute back pain. *Clin J Pain* 1998; **14**: 209–15.
- 10 Hill JC, Dunn KM, Lewis M, et al. A primary care back pain screening tool: identifying patient subgroups for initial treatment. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008; **59:** 632–41.
- 11 Hancock MJ, Maher CG, Latimer J, Herbert RD, McAuley JH. Can rate of recovery be predicted in patients with acute low back pain? Development of a clinical prediction rule. *Eur J Pain* 2009; **13:** 51–5.

- 12 Traeger AC, Henschke N, Hubscher M, et al. Estimating the risk of chronic pain: development and validation of a prognostic model (PICKUP) for patients with acute low back pain. *PLoS Med* 2016; **13**: e1002019.
- 13 Margison DA, French DJ. Predicting treatment failure in the subacute injury phase using the Orebro Musculoskeletal Pain Questionnaire: an observational prospective study in a workers' compensation system. J Occup Environ Med. 2007 Jan;49(1):59-67
- 14 Jellema P, van der Windt DA, van der Horst HE, Stalman WA, Bouter LM. Prediction of an unfavourable course of low back pain in general practice: comparison of four instruments. Br J Gen Pract. 2007 Jan;57(534):15-22
- 15 Maher CG, Grotle M. Evaluation of the predictive validity of the Orebro Musculoskeletal Pain Screening Questionnaire. Clin J Pain. 2009 Oct;25(8):666-70
- Gabel CP, Melloh M, Yelland M, Burkett B, Roiko A. Predictive ability of a modified Örebro
 Musculoskeletal Pain Questionnaire in an acute/subacute low back pain working population. Eur Spine
 J. 2011 Mar;20(3):449-57
- 17 Dunstan DA, Covic T, Tyson GA, Lennie IG. Does the Orebro Musculoskeletal Pain Questionnaire predict outcomes following a work-related compensable injury? Int J Rehabil Res. 2005 Dec;28(4):369-7019
- 18 Nonclercq O, Berquin A. Predicting chronicity in acute back pain: validation of a French translation of the Orebro Musculoskeletal Pain Screening Questionnaire. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* 2012; **55**: 263–78.
- 19 Westman A, Linton SJ, Ohrvik J, Wahlen P, Leppert J. Do psychosocial factors predict disability and health at a 3-year follow-up for patients with non-acute musculoskeletal pain? A validation of the Orebro Musculoskeletal Pain Screening Questionnaire. Eur J Pain. 2008;12:641–649.
- 20 Law RK, Lee EW, Law SW, Chan BK, Chen PP, Szeto GP. The predictive validity of OMPQ on the rehabilitation outcomes for patients with acute and subacute non-specific LBP in a Chinese population. *J Occup Rehabil* 2013; **23**: 361–70.
- 21 Hilfiker R, Knutti IA, Raval-Roland B, Rivier G, Crombez G, Opsommer E. Validity and responsiveness of the French version of the Orebro Musculoskeletal Pain Screening Questionnaire in chronic low back pain. *Eur Spine J* 2016; **25**: 2741–49.
- 22 Oncu J, Iliser R, Kuran B. Cross-cultural adaptation of the Orebro Musculoskeletal Pain Questionnaire among Turkish workers with low back pain. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil* 2016; **29**: 135-43..
- Field J, Newell D. Relationship between STarT Back Screening Tool and prognosis for low back pain patients receiving spinal manipulative therapy. *Chiropr Man Therap* 2012; **20:** 17.
- 24 Beneciuk JM, Bishop MD, Fritz JM, et al. The STarT back screening tool and individual psychological measures: evaluation of prognostic capabilities for low back pain clinical outcomes in outpatient physical therapy settings. *Phys Ther* 2013; **93:** 321–33.
- 25 Morso L, Kent P, Albert HB, Hill JC, Kongsted A, Manniche C. The predictive and external validity of the STarT Back Tool in Danish primary care. *Eur Spine J* 2013; **22:** 1859–67.
- 26 Morso L, Kent P, Manniche C, Albert HB. The predictive ability of the STarT Back Screening Tool in a Danish secondary care setting. *Eur Spine J* 2014; **23:** 120–28.
- 27 Page I, Abboud J, J OS, Laurencelle L, Descarreaux M. Chronic low back pain clinical outcomes present higher associations with the STarT Back Screening Tool than with physiologic measures: a 12-month cohort study. *BMC Musculoskel Dis* 2015; **16**: 201.
- 28 Kongsted A, Andersen CH, Hansen MM, Hestbaek L. Prediction of outcome in patients with low back pain—A prospective cohort study comparing clinicians' predictions with those of the Start Back Tool. Man Ther 2016; 21: 120–27.

Declaration of interests

Johannes R. Anema is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current (personal) research grants from government research agencies in the Netherlands (e.g., Netherlands Organisation for Health Research & Development) and Canada (eg, IRSST). His research has also received funding from philanthropy and quasi-governmental agencies (Dutch Social Security Agency, Institute GAK) and charities linked to professional body membership (Dutch Foundation of Occupational Medicine). Prof Anema and his research team received a grant from Pfizer to write a report on depression and anxiety disorders based on a secondary analysis of data collected with funding from government research agencies. His travel expenses have been covered when he has been an invited speaker at conferences and he has received honoraria for talks and reviewing grants. Prof Anema was an invited co-opted member of the guideline development group for the Dutch Occupational Medicine guideline for low back pain and the Dutch national Insurance Medicine protocol for lumbosacral syndrome. He is President of the Work Disability Prevention and Integration Committee of the International Commission on Occupational Health (ICOH). He has published multiple papers on low back pain, some of which may be referenced in the series. He is editor of the International Handbook of Work Disability which is referenced in the series. Prof Anema is stockholder and senior consultant of Evalua Netherlands Ltd. His chair in Insurance Medicine is paid by the Dutch Social Security Agency.

Rachelle Buchbinder is chief investigator or associate investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies from Australia (eg, NHMRC,

ARC), and overseas (eg, ZonMW in the Netherlands and PCORI in the USA). Her research has also received funding from philanthropy (eg, Arthritis Australia) and government agencies (eg, NSW WorkCover). She has been funded by research fellowships from NHMRC since 2005. She has received travel expenses for speaking at conferences from the professional organisations hosting the conferences. She chaired the back pain expert group for the 2010 Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors (GBD) Study. She was appointed to the Australian Medical Services Advisory Committee in May 2016. She has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Dan Cherkin is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government-related research agencies in the USA (eg, NIH and PCORI). His travel expenses have been covered when he has been an invited speaker at conferences and he has received honoraria for talks, reviewing grants and theses (no honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies). Dr. Cherkin has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Roger Chou has received funding from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to conduct systematic reviews on low back pain treatments, was an author on the 2016 CDC guideline, receives royalties from UpToDate as an author on low back pain topics, has had travel expenses covered when he has been an invited speaker at conferences and has received honoraria for talks (no honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies). Stephen P. Cohen is Principal Investigator for multiple clinical trials evaluating interventions for low back pain funded by the U.S. Department of Defense (Congressionally Mandated Research Programs). He serves on the Advisory Boards of a company that make radiofrequency equipment (Halyard) and another one working with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to design a steroid approved for epidural injection (Semnur). He was the lead speaker on the effectiveness of epidural steroid injections at the FDA-convened panel on the procedure following the fungal meningitis outbreak. He serves on several National Institutes of Health task forces, including one for pain education and another for research priorities for chronic pain. He is a member of the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine (ASRA) Board of Directors. He was a "stakeholder" for ASRA and the Dept. of Defense for the recent U.S. Drug Enforcement guidelines on opioids for chronic pain. He has published numerous studies on low back pain, some of which are referenced in this series.

Luciola Menez Costa is chief investigator or associate investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies FAPESP and CNPq from Brazil. She has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Peter Croft has been chief investigator or co-investigator on multiple previous research grants for musculoskeletal pain research from UK government agencies (including National Institute for Health Research and the Medical Research Council) and UK charitable organisations (Arthritis Research UK and the Wellcome Trust), but none from industry. His travel expenses have been covered by the organising professional organisations (including rheumatology, pain specialists, physical therapy, primary care) when he has been an invited speaker at conferences. He has received honoraria for reviewing grant proposals from government organisations in Canada, Norway and Sweden. PC's department has received payment for two reports to the UK Committee on Advertising Practice. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Manuela Ferreira holds a Sydney Medical Foundation Fellowship/Sydney Medical School and is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies in Australia (NHMRC) and Brazil (eg, CAPES/CNPQ), philanthropy (eg, Arthritis Australia), industry (eg, Medibank Research Fund) and institutional research funds (eg, International Research and Research Training Fund/The University of Melbourne). Her travel expenses have been covered when she has been invited speaker at conferences and she has received honoraria for talks, reviewing grants and theses. She has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be cited in this series.

Paulo Ferreira is chief investigator, or associate investigator on multiple previous & current research grants from government research agencies from Australia (eg, NHMRC, Arthritis Australia), USA (eg, MDT Research Foundation), Spain (e.g. MAPFRE foundation), and Brazil

(eg, CNPQ, FAPESP). The University of Sydney funds his salary. His travel expenses have been covered when he has been an invited speaker at conferences or through his research funding (no honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies). He has received industry related funding from competitive peer-reviewed schemes (eg, Medibank Private Research Foundation – Australia) for a trial investigating the effects of physical activity for recurrent low back pain (IMPACT). IMPACT is currently in its pilot stages. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Nadine E. Foster is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies in the UK (eg, NIHR), USA (e.g. PCORI) and Australia (eg, NMHRC). For 10 years her salary has been covered by research fellowships from the UK's National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). Her research has also received funding from philanthropy (e.g Arthritis Research UK, Medical Research Council) and charities linked to professional body membership (eg, Chartered Society of Physiotherapy's Charitable Trust). Her travel expenses have been covered when she has been an invited speaker at conferences and she has received honoraria for talks, reviewing grants and theses (no honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies). Prof Foster was an invited co-opted member of the guideline development group for the UK's National Clinical Guideline on low back pain and sciatica. She is the President of the Society of Back Pain Research in the UK and has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Julie Fritz is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies in the United States including NIH, AHRQ, DOD and PCORI. Her research has also received funding from Foundations in the USA including the Foundation for Physical Therapy and National Athletic Trainers Association Research Foundation. Her travel expenses have been covered when she has been an invited speaker at conferences and she has received honoraria for talks and reviewing grants (no honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies). Dr. Fritz has received payment as a journal editor (Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy). She is an author or co-author on multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Stéphane Genevay is principal investigator or associate investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from Swiss research foundation (e.g. HUG). His research has also received funding from philanthropy (eg, Rheumasearch, Centre de Recherches Médicales Carlos & Elsie de Reuter, Eugenio Litta). He has received funding from pharmaceutical companies (Abbvie, MSD, Pfizer) for investigator-initiated trials. He has received travel expenses and honorariums for speaking at conferences from the professional organisations hosting the conferences. He has papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Douglas P. Gross is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies in Canada (eg, Canadian Institutes for

Health Research, Alberta Innovates Health Solutions) and the Netherlands (eg, TechForFuture Centre of Expertise HTSM Oost). His research has also received funding from philanthropy and quasi-governmental agencies (eg, Workers' Compensation Board of Alberta, Workers' Compensation Board of Manitoba, WorkSafeBC, Institute for Health Economics, Canadian Hemophilia Society) and charities linked to professional body membership (eg, Physiotherapy Foundation of Canada, Canadian Occupational Therapy Foundation). He has received funding from pharmaceutical companies (Bayer Hemophilia Awards Program) to undertake investigator-initiated research. His travel expenses have been covered when he has been an invited speaker at conferences and he has received honoraria for talks, reviewing grants and theses (no honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies). Prof Gross was an invited co-opted member of the guideline development group for the Ontario Ministry of Government Services Community Research Award, "Development of a Minor Injury Treatment Protocol". He has published multiple papers on low back pain, some of which may be referenced in the series.

Mark Hancock is chief investigator, or associate investigator on previous and current research grants from government agencies (e.g. NHMRC and WorkCover) and from philanthropy (e.g., Arthritis NSW and International Mechanical Diagnosis and Therapy Research Foundation). His travel expenses have been covered when he has been an invited speaker at conferences. He is chief investigator on two investigator-initiated NHMRC-funded trials that have received supplementary industry funding. The first trial, PACE, was published in Lancet in 2014 and had co-funding from GSK. PACE demonstrated that paracetamol was ineffective for acute low back pain. The second NHMRC-funded trial, PRECISE, is evaluating pregabalin for sciatica. Pfizer provided the study medicine at no cost but provided no other funding. PRECISE is currently under review. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Jan Hartvigsen is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies in Denmark (e.g. the Danish Ministry of Science and Innovation), and the USA (e.g. Health Resources and Service Administration). He holds tenured positions at the University of Southern Denmark and the Nordic Institute of Chiropractic and Clinical Biomechanics, which cover his full salary. His research has also received funding from philanthropy (e.g. Danish League against Rheumatism) and charities linked to professional bodies (e.g. the Danish Chiropractors Research Fund). His travel expenses have been covered when he has been invited speaker at conferences and he has received honoraria for talks, reviewing grants and theses. He has received honoraria for speaking from one pharmaceutical company (Nycomed 2002) but no device companies. Prof Hartvigsen was invited member of the expert groups that in 2014-16 developed Danish National Guidelines commissioned by the Danish Health and Medicines Authority for the management of low back pain, lumbar radiculopathy, and cervical radiculopathy. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be cited in this series.

Damian Hoy is the principal epidemiologist for the Pacific Community. This work involves dealing with all conditions in the Pacific that cause burden. It is funded by multiple donors, including the Governments of Australia, New Zealand, and France. It has included funding to travel to one research conference on surveillance. He is a member of the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors (GBD) Study Musculoskeletal Expert Group, and is codeputy chair of the Global Alliance for Musculoskeletal Diseases Surveillance Taskforce. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Jaro Karppinen is chief investigator or associate investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from Finnish government research agency (Finnish Academy). He has received travel expenses for speaking at conferences from the professional organisations hosting the conferences. He was nominated as a member of the European Academy of Rehabilitation Medicine in 2012. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Bart Koes is chief investigator or associate investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies from the Netherlands (eg ZonMW, NWO) and overseas (eg, NHMRC, Australia). His research has also received funding from philanthropy (eg, Dutch Arthritis Foundation). He has received travel expenses for speaking at conferences from the professional organisations hosting the conferences. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Alice Kongsted's position at University of Southern Denmark is financially supported by The Foundation for Advancement of Chiropractic Research and Postgraduate Education, Denmark. She was the content area expert in the development of Danish national clinical guidelines for treatment of neck pain for which she received a fee. She has received funding from The Foundation for Advancement of Chiropractic Research and Postgraduate Education and "IMK Almene Fond" to conduct observational cohort studies in Danish general practice and chiropractic practice. Her travel expenses have been covered when she has been invited as a speaker at conferences. She has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Quinette Louw is the principal or associate investigator on grants from South African government research agencies, including the Medical Research Council and National Research Foundation. She has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series. She received travel expenses when she was an invited speaker at conferences or workshops and has received honoraria for talks and theses (no honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies). Prof Louw was invited to assist with the South African Physiotherapy Society's low back pain clinical practice guidelines.

Chris Maher is chief investigator, or associate investigator on multiple previous & current research grants from government research agencies from Australia (eg, NHMRC), Brazil (eg, FAPESP) and the Netherlands (eg, ZonMW). For the past 10 years his salary has been covered by research fellowships from Australia's National Health and Medical Research Council and The Australian Research Council. His research has also received funding from philanthropy (eg, Arthritis Australia) and government agencies (eg, NSW WorkCover). He has received travel expenses for speaking at conferences from the professional associations hosting the conferences, and has received honoraria for talks from professional associations and industry hosting the talks, honoraria for reviewing grants from government grant agencies and honoraria for marking theses from the relevant university. Prof Maher has received supplementary industry funding for two investigator-initiated NHMRC-funded trials. The first trial had co-funding from GlaxoSmithKline. Pfizer provided the study medicine for the second trial, PRECISE, at no cost, but provided no other funding. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Birgitta Öberg is head of research at the division and responsible for research previous and ongoing research funded by government research agencies in Sweden. She has received travel expenses for speaking at conferences from the professional organisations hosting the conferences. She chaired the Scientific Council of Medicine and Health 2013-2016 and been a member 2010-2012.

Wilco Peul has no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Glenn Pransky is chief investigator or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research studies, primarily funded by his employer, the Liberty Mutual Research Institute. He has also collaborated on studies funded by the US National Institute of Health, the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, the Canadian Institute of Health Research, and others. He has received travel expenses for speaking at conferences from the professional organizations hosting the conferences, and support for conference travel from his employer. He chaired the Work Disability Prevention and Integration section of the International Commission on Occupational Health from 2010- 2015. He has published multiple papers on low back pain and work disability, some of which are referenced in this series.

Mark Schoene receives the majority of his funding from the publishing company Wolters Kluwer for writing/editing an international newsletter on spine/back pain research (The BackLetter). He authors all the articles and shares editorial control with the executive editor (a researcher, academic spine surgeon, and Chairman, Dept of Orthopaedics at Georgetown University Medical Center). Neither has any conflicts of interest with drug or device companies. MS has co-authored several editorials for journals owned by publishers (The Spine Journal, Spine—owned respectively by Elsevier and Wolters Kluwer). The editorials concerned the inadequacy of the evidence base for regulated surgical devices or drugs/biologics. He received nothing of value for those editorials. The remainder of his funding comes from the non-profit Sports Health and Safety Institute at the University of Washington for research, writing, and editing in the concussion area. He was previously a paid consultant for the non-profit Informed Medical Decisions Foundation in Boston, involved in the preparation of Decision Aids and Shared Decision Making materials. He occasionally receives travel funding from professional societies to take part in symposia sponsored by those societies. MS has been an unpaid editorial board member and Consumer Representative at the Cochrane Collaboration Back and Neck Group since 1999.

Joachim Sieper has no conflict of interested as related to these manuscripts. Outside the submitted manuscripts he reports grants and personal fees from Abbvie, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, grants from Eli-Lilly, personal fees from Galapagos, grants and personal fees from Janssen, grants and personal fees from Merck, personal fees from Novartis, grants and personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from UCB.

Rob Smeets is chief investigator or associate investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies from the Netherlands (ZonMW), and overseas (eg, NHMRC in Australia and Swedish Research Council). His research has also received funding from philanthropy (eg, Eurospine, Revalidatiefonds, Stichting Annadal) and health care insurance companies (eg, CZ and VGZ) and government agencies (eg, Province of Limburg). He has received travel expenses for speaking at conferences from the professional organisations hosting the conferences. He chaired the IASP Special Interest Group Pain, Mind and Movement and was member of the governmental working group protocol lumbosacral radicular syndrome for insurance medicine (Gezondheidsraad) and is member of the project group Dutch Quality Care Standard Chronic pain. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Judith A. Turner is co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from US government agencies, including NIH, AHRQ, and PCORI. She is President of the International

Association for the Study of Pain. Her travel expenses have been covered when she has been an invited speaker at conferences and she has received honoraria for talks (no honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies). She is an author on multiple articles on low back pain, some of which may be referenced in this series. She receives royalties from PAR, Inc. for questionnaires not referenced in this series.

Martin Underwood was Chair of the NICE accreditation advisory committee until March 2017 for which he received a fee. He was chair of the guideline development group that produced the 2009 NICE back pain guidelines. He is chief investigator or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from the UK National Institute for Health Research, Arthritis Research UK and is a co-investigator on grants funded by Arthritis Australia and Australian NHMRC. He has completed trials of manual therapy, group exercise, and a cognitive behavioural approach as treatments for low back pain. He has received travel expenses for speaking at conferences from the professional organisations hosting the conferences He has received travel and subsistence to attend meeting by the EU Joint Research Centre. He is a director and shareholder of Clinvivo Ltd that provides electronic data collection for health services research. He is part of an academic partnership with Serco Ltd. He is an editor of the NIHR journal series for which he receives a fee. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Maurits van Tulder is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies in the Netherlands (ZONMW; the Dutch

Health Insurance Council) and Australia (NMHRC). His research has also received funding from professional organisations (eg, the Royal Dutch Association for Physiotherapy; the Netherlands National Chiropractic Association and the European Chiropractic Union). His travel expenses have been covered by the organizing professional organizations when he has been an invited speaker at conferences. He has received honoraria for reviewing grant proposals from the Swedish Medical Research Council and VINNOVA (Sweden's innovation agency). He has not received any honoraria or travel expenses from the industry. Prof van Tulder was chairman of the Netherlands National Multidisciplinary Guideline on Low Back Pain. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Anthony Woolf has been chief investigator or co-investigator on projects to identify burden of musculoskeletal conditions and to develop strategies for their control. He has been an expert advisor to the World Health Organisation (WHO). He is chair of the Global Alliance for Musculoskeletal Health. The European Community, professional bodies and research agencies have supported his work. Professional bodies or organisers of scientific meetings have supported his travel expenses. He has not received any funding from the private sector.